An Economic Theory Masterclass Part V: Price or Quantity Constraints

Lones Smith

March 4, 2021

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Officially Sanctioned Demand

- Some token or record must be kept of quantity
- Example: ration coupons in WWII for clothing, shoes, coffee, gasoline, fuel oil, etc.
- After Hurricane Sandy, cars with license plates ending in
 - an odd number or a letter can buy gas on odd-numbered days
 an even number or zero can buy gas on even-numbered days.
- Example: fewer NYC taxi medallions than 1937



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Quantity Ceilings with Tokens are Price Floors P_{\bullet}



- Lesson: Binding price or quantity constraints induce secondary markets that help clear the market.
- Assume a binding quantity ceiling $\underline{Q} < Q^*$.
- This induces a market for the token (medallion or coupon)
- binding price ceiling
- \Rightarrow supply is on the **short side of the market**
- \Rightarrow token has value $P_D(\underline{Q}) P_S(\underline{Q}) = \overline{P} \underline{P} > 0$



- Demand price exceeds supply price at that quantity
- Marshallian quantity adjustment is blocked
- This induces a market for the token (medallion or coupon)
- binding quantity ceiling
- \Rightarrow supply is on the **short side of the market**
- \Rightarrow token has value $P_D(\underline{Q}) P_S(\underline{Q}) = \overline{P} \underline{P} > 0$
- ► Efficient trades don't happen ⇒ triangular deadweight loss, provided the coupons or medallions are efficiently traded

Taxi Medallion Example

- 1937 Demand $P_D(Q) = 28,000 Q$.
- Supply $P_S(Q) = Q$
- Taxis Q* = 14,000
- Demand in year t is $P_D(Q|t) = 28,000 + 100t Q$
- Assume taxi medallions cost M(t)
- Supply in year t is $P_S(Q) = Q + M(t)$
- $\blacktriangleright P_D(Q^*|t) = P_S(Q^*)$
- $\Rightarrow 28,000 + 100t Q^* = Q^* + M(t)$
- $\Rightarrow M(t) = 100t$

Medallion Value in the Uber Era (2009–)



Corporate Average Fuel Economy: A Car/Truck Ratio

- Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) standards
 - ▶ 1985-2011: Car companies must average 27.5MPG for cars
 - Firms discounted fuel efficient sedans, sold trucks at a premium
 - Profit maximization over sedans s and trucks t becomes:

$$\max_{x,y}[sP_S(s,t) - C_S(s)] + [tP_T(t,s) - C_T(t)] \text{ s.t. } s \ge \alpha t$$

What is the efficient Pigouvian tax approach?



The Minimum Wage: Price Floors with Tokens

- Assume a binding price floor $\bar{P} > P^*$
- \Rightarrow Quantity supplied exceeds that demanded
- \Rightarrow Assume a costly token clears the market
- ⇒ Short side of the market (demand) determines quantity \underline{Q} traded, via $\underline{P} = P_S(Q)$.



Long run vs Short Run



The Minimum Wage is a Binding Price Floor



A minimum wage leads to job losses with competitive demand

- Job losses are higher the more elastic is labor demand
- > As depicted, total wage revenue falls to employed workers
- High demand elasticity \Rightarrow total wage revenue \downarrow (2019 prelim)
- Minimum wage has a bigger impact in the longer run, since demand is more elastic (Le Chetalier)
- Job losses are unaffected by the supply elasticity

NYC Fast-Food Workers Stunned Some Are Being Fired after \$15 Minimum Wage Hike

Serving as ground zero for the \$15 minimum wage battle, New York City saw its fast-food workers also serve as the subjects in an experiment that completely ignored the laws of economics.

Wednesday, February 20, 2019



Employer-Provided Health Insurance in WWII

- ► In WW2, supply of workers fell and demand rose.
- Assume just the latter: demand rises from D_1 to D_2 .
- The War Labor Board established wage controls
- Solution: Employer provided health insurance, valued at h to clear the market.



Minimum Wage with Monopsony





- Governments could at cost institute either a specific or percentage a wage subsidy.
- This entails a deadweight loss too, but by encouraging too much work (find it in the picture below).
- Maybe that's a good loss for us to bear!

